International Journal of Orthopaedics and Physiotherapy

ISSN Print: 2664-8989
ISSN Online: 2664-8997
IJOP 2024; 6(1): 05-10
www.orthopedicsjournals.com
Received: 12-11-2023
Accepted: 17-12-2023

Barnana Roy
Department of Physiotherapy, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, West Bengal, India

Mainak Sur
Department of Physiotherapy, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, West Bengal, India

Patralika Nath
Department of Physiotherapy, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, West Bengal, India

Sujata Deb Roy
Department of Physiotherapy, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, West Bengal, India

Pritam Singha
Department of Physiotherapy, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, West Bengal, India

Kamalika Bhattacharjee
Department of Physiotherapy, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, West Bengal, India

Corresponding Author:
Barnana Roy
Department of Physiotherapy, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, West Bengal, India

Neuroplasticity and its implications for vestibular rehabilitation: A narrative review

Barnana Roy, Mainak Sur, Patralika Nath, Sujata Deb Roy, Pritam Singha and Kamalika Bhattacharjee

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26648989.2024.v6.i1a.18

Abstract
Neuroplasticity, vital for learning and adaptation, holds increasing significance in vestibular rehabilitation, aiming to restore balance post vestibular disruptions. This narrative review probes the intricate connection between neuroplasticity and rehabilitation, spotlighting neural adaptations' contribution, influencing factors, mechanisms, and clinical implications. Vestibular disorders like neuritis and BPPV instigate neuroplastic changes in the CNS. Patient age, dysfunction severity, and intervention timing shape reorganization potential. Gaze stability training and balance exercises leverage neuroplasticity for optimal outcomes. Educating both clinicians and patients amplifies intervention success. This review underscores neuroplasticity's key role, unveiling its interplay with recovery, accentuating influencing factors and effective mechanisms. With evolving insights, rehabilitation strategies stand to revolutionize, fostering equilibrium and enriching lives amid vestibular adversities.

Keywords: Neuroplasticity, vestibular rehabilitation, balance restoration, neural adaptations, clinical implications

Introduction

The introduction of this narrative review marks the commencement of a journey into the intricate relationship between neuroplasticity and vestibular rehabilitation. Neuroplasticity, a foundational concept in neuroscience, denotes the brain's remarkable ability to reorganize itself by forming new neural connections in response to experience, learning, or injury. In the realm of vestibular rehabilitation, this phenomenon takes center stage as a key mechanism facilitating recovery from vestibular dysfunction.[1]The overarching aim of this review is to unravel the multifaceted role of neuroplasticity in the context of vestibular rehabilitation and to elucidate its profound implications for optimizing treatment outcomes. By understanding how the brain undergoes adaptive changes in response to vestibular dysfunction, clinicians and researchers can strategically tailor rehabilitation approaches to capitalize on these neural rewirings, ultimately enhancing the efficacy of treatment [1, 2]. The scope of this review extends beyond a mere exploration of neuroplasticity's theoretical underpinnings. It encompasses a comprehensive analysis of its practical applications in the context of vestibular rehabilitation. The intricacies of neuroplastic changes during vestibular compensation will be dissected, with a particular focus on factors influencing the speed and extent of these adaptations. Additionally, the review will delve into specific rehabilitation strategies that harness neuroplasticity, including tailored exercises, habituation techniques, and sensory reweighting approaches [2]. Highlighting the importance of a holistic understanding, the review will also shed light on emerging technologies and innovations that hold the potential to revolutionize vestibular rehabilitation by monitoring and facilitating neuroplastic changes. As we embark on this exploration, the importance of neuroplasticity in optimizing vestibular rehabilitation outcomes becomes increasingly evident, underscoring the need for a nuanced comprehension of this dynamic interplay between the brain and the vestibular system. Through a synthesis of theoretical concepts and practical applications, this review endeavours to contribute to the evolving landscape of vestibular rehabilitation by emphasizing the central role of neuroplasticity in shaping its success.

Neuroplasticity and Vestibular compensation

Neuroplasticity, a fundamental concept in neuroscience, refers to the brain's remarkable ability to reorganize its structure and function in response to experience, learning, or injury. In the context of neural adaptation, neuroplasticity plays a pivotal role in reshaping neural circuits and optimizing their functionality. This adaptability is particularly crucial in vestibular compensation, a dynamic process through which the central nervous system adjusts to and recovers from vestibular dysfunction [4, 5]. Vestibular compensation is a multifaceted mechanism aimed at restoring balance and spatial orientation after damage to the vestibular system, which may result from conditions such as inner ear disorders or head injuries. Neuroplastic changes are integral to this process, orchestrating a series of adaptive responses that contribute to the recovery of normal vestibular function [6]. At the core of vestibular compensation is the recalibration of sensory input. When vestibular dysfunction occurs, conflicting signals from the damaged vestibular organs and intact visual and somatosensory systems lead to sensory mismatch [7]. Neuroplasticity facilitates the recalibration of these sensory inputs, allowing the brain to adapt to the altered signals and restore a coherent perception of spatial orientation. One notable example of neuroplastic changes in vestibular compensation involves the phenomenon of "sensory reweighting [8]." In this process, the brain dynamically adjusts the weighting of sensory inputs, giving more prominence to intact sensory modalities, such as vision and proprioception, while downplaying the reliance on the impaired vestibular input. This recalibration helps to minimize the impact of vestibular dysfunction on postural control and spatial orientation. Neural circuit rewiring is another key aspect of vestibular compensation facilitated by neuroplasticity. In response to vestibular injury, the brain undergoes structural and functional changes, leading to the formation of new synaptic connections and the strengthening of existing ones. This rewiring enables the brain to utilize alternative pathways for processing sensory information and maintaining equilibrium. Adaptive mechanisms within the vestibular system involve changes at both the peripheral and central levels. At the peripheral level, there may be alterations in the sensitivity of hair cells and neurons within the vestibular organs, enhancing their responsiveness to the remaining input. Centrally, there is evidence of synaptic plasticity, where the connections between neurons are modified to optimize information processing [9]. Moreover, the phenomenon of "vestibular habituation" exemplifies how the brain adapts to continuous or repetitive exposure to provocative stimuli. Through repeated exposure to movements that initially induce symptoms, such as dizziness or imbalance, the brain gradually desensitizes and becomes less responsive to these stimuli, contributing to symptom alleviation [10]. In summary, neuroplasticity is the driving force behind vestibular compensation, orchestrating adaptive changes that recalibrate sensory inputs, facilitate neural circuit rewiring, and promote the development of alternative pathways within the vestibular system. Understanding these neuroplastic mechanisms is essential for optimizing vestibular rehabilitation strategies, as it allows clinicians to tailor interventions that capitalize on the brain's inherent capacity for adaptation and recovery from vestibular dysfunction [11].

Neuroplastic adaptive factors

Neuroplasticity, the brain's ability to reorganize itself in response to experiences, is influenced by several factors that collectively shape the extent and speed of neuroplastic changes. These factors include age, the severity of vestibular dysfunction, and individual patient characteristics [12]. Age plays a pivotal role in neuroplasticity. The brain is most plastic during critical periods in early development when it is highly adaptable to environmental stimuli. During these periods, the neural circuits associated with various functions, including sensory processing, are more easily modified. However, neuroplasticity is not limited to childhood; the brain remains adaptable throughout life, albeit to a lesser extent. In older individuals, the process may be slower, but the brain can still reorganize and adapt to new sensory inputs [13]. Nevertheless, interventions targeting neuroplastic changes may need to be tailored to the age of the individual, with more emphasis on early intervention for optimal results. The severity of vestibular dysfunction is another crucial factor. The vestibular system, responsible for spatial orientation and balance, provides essential input to the brain for coordinating movements and maintaining equilibrium. In cases of mild to moderate dysfunction, the brain may exhibit more adaptive plasticity, adjusting neural circuits to compensate for the impaired sensory input. However, severe dysfunction can pose challenges to neuroplasticity. The brain may struggle to reorganize effectively, requiring more intensive and targeted interventions to induce meaningful changes. Rehabilitation strategies may need to be carefully calibrated based on the degree of dysfunction to optimize neuroplastic adaptations [14].

Individual patient characteristics further contribute to the variability in neuroplastic responses. Genetic factors influence baseline neuroplasticity, determining how readily an individual's brain responds to environmental stimuli. Some individuals may inherently possess a greater capacity for neuroplastic changes, while others may face more challenges [15]. Additionally, overall health plays a significant role. Adequate nutrition, proper sleep, and stress management create an environment conducive to neuroplasticity. A healthy lifestyle supports optimal brain function, enhancing the ability to reorganize and adapt to new sensory inputs. The interplay of age, the severity of vestibular dysfunction, and individual patient characteristics significantly influences the dynamics of neuroplastic changes [16, 17]. Understanding these factors is crucial for developing targeted interventions that leverage the brain's inherent adaptability. While age may influence the pace of neuroplasticity, even in adulthood, the brain can undergo meaningful changes. Tailoring interventions based on the severity of vestibular dysfunction and considering individual patient characteristics ensures a more personalized and effective approach to promoting neuroplastic adaptations and facilitating the brain's ability to reorganize and adapt to new sensory inputs.

Leveraging neuroplasticity in rehabilitation

Vestibular rehabilitation is a therapeutic approach that strategically capitalizes on neuroplasticity to optimize treatment outcomes for individuals with vestibular dysfunction. The fundamental premise lies in the brain's inherent ability to adapt and reorganize, allowing for the development of compensatory mechanisms that enhance balance and spatial orientation [18, 19].

Tailored exercises form a cornerstone of vestibular rehabilitation, exploiting neuroplasticity to promote adaptation to altered sensory input. For instance, gaze stability training involves exercises that challenge the vestibulo-ocular reflex, encouraging the eyes to remain fixed on a target despite head movements. This prompts the brain to recalibrate and enhance the coordination between vestibular input and eye movements, fostering improved gaze stability and reducing symptoms like dizziness [20]. Habituation techniques are another key component, leveraging neuroplasticity to diminish symptoms through repeated exposure to provoking stimuli. By gradually exposing individuals to movements or positions that initially induce dizziness or imbalance, the brain habituates, becoming less responsive to these stimuli over time [21, 22]. This process aids in symptom alleviation and enhances overall functional recovery. Sensory reweighting is a sophisticated strategy within vestibular rehabilitation that taps into neuroplasticity by recalibrating the weighting of sensory inputs. This involves adjusting the brain's reliance on different sensory modalities, such as vision, proprioception, and vestibular input, to maintain balance. Rehabilitation protocols may incorporate exercises that challenge patients to rely more on intact sensory systems, compensating for deficits in the impaired vestibular input [23-25]. Examples of exercises within these strategies include gaze stabilization exercises, where individuals focus on maintaining visual fixation during head movements, or balance exercises on unstable surfaces, challenging proprioceptive and vestibular systems simultaneously. These interventions are tailored to address specific aspects of neuroplastic adaptation, promoting functional recovery through targeted and progressive challenges [26, 27]. Vestibular rehabilitation harnesses neuroplasticity by providing structured and progressively challenging exercises that prompt the brain to adapt to altered sensory input. By strategically targeting gaze stability, habituation, and sensory reweighting, rehabilitation maximizes the potential for neuroplastic changes, ultimately optimizing treatment outcomes for individuals with vestibular dysfunction.

Clinical implications

Understanding the intricacies of neuroplasticity holds profound practical implications for both clinicians and patients engaged in vestibular rehabilitation. The dynamic interplay between the brain's adaptability and the rehabilitation process necessitates a comprehensive approach that integrates patient education, motivation, and engagement to optimize the potential for neuroplastic changes [28]. For clinicians, grasping the principles of neuroplasticity enhances treatment planning and tailoring interventions to individual patient needs [29]. By comprehending how the brain adapts to vestibular dysfunction, clinicians can design rehabilitation programs that strategically challenge and stimulate the neural pathways involved in spatial orientation and balance [30]. This nuanced understanding allows for the customization of exercises to target specific aspects of neuroplastic adaptation, promoting more effective and efficient rehabilitation outcomes. Patient education plays a pivotal role in fostering a collaborative and informed approach to rehabilitation [31]. Communicating the concept of neuroplasticity to patients empowers them with knowledge about the brain's capacity for change and adaptation. This awareness is particularly impactful in managing expectations, as patients gain insight into the gradual nature of neuroplastic changes and the realistic timeline for improvements [32]. Understanding that the brain can forge new pathways through consistent effort can motivate patients to actively participate in their rehabilitation journey. Motivation emerges as a key determinant of success in vestibular rehabilitation guided by neuroplasticity principles. Patients who comprehend the adaptive nature of the brain are more likely to sustain their commitment to the prescribed exercises [33]. Clinicians can leverage this understanding to cultivate intrinsic motivation by emphasizing the direct link between consistent engagement in rehabilitation activities and the potential for positive neuroplastic changes. Motivated patients are more likely to adhere to their prescribed home exercise programs, which is essential for the long-term success of vestibular rehabilitation [34]. Active patient engagement becomes a cornerstone in promoting neuroplastic changes. Clinicians can employ varied and progressively challenging exercises, ensuring that patients are continuously exposed to stimuli that drive neural adaptation. Engaging patients in dual-task activities that challenge multiple sensory and motor systems simultaneously further stimulates neuroplasticity.

For example, incorporating gaze stabilization exercises into dynamic balance tasks not only targets specific vestibular pathways but also promotes the integration of visual and proprioceptive inputs [35]. Beyond the physical exercises, cognitive engagement is equally crucial. Integrating problem-solving elements into rehabilitation tasks challenges the brain's executive functions, fostering additional neural connections. Clinicians can encourage patients to actively participate in the planning and progression of their rehabilitation, enhancing a sense of ownership and control that contributes to sustained engagement. Patient feedback and communication are vital components of promoting neuroplastic changes. Clinicians should routinely assess patients' experiences and adjust rehabilitation plans accordingly. Acknowledging progress, no matter how incremental, reinforces the positive aspects of the rehabilitation process, motivating patients to persist in their efforts [36, 37]. Therefore, recognizing the implications of neuroplasticity in vestibular rehabilitation transforms the approach to treatment. Clinicians armed with this understanding can craft tailored interventions, while educated and motivated patients actively engage in their rehabilitation, maximizing the potential for neuroplastic changes. This collaborative and informed approach not only optimizes treatment outcomes but also empowers patients with the knowledge and motivation essential for sustained progress in their vestibular rehabilitation journey.

Future directions and innovations

The future of vestibular rehabilitation is poised at the intersection of emerging technologies and our deepening understanding of neuroplasticity. Pioneering advancements promise to revolutionize the field, offering novel tools to both comprehend and capitalize on neuroplastic changes for more effective vestibular rehabilitation [38]. Neuroimaging techniques stand out as crucial instruments for unravelling the intricacies of neuroplasticity in the context of vestibular rehabilitation. Functional MRI (fMRI) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) provide invaluable insights into the structural and functional alterations occurring in the brain as a response to vestibular dysfunction [39]. fMRI allows clinicians and researchers to observe changes in blood flow and neural activity in real-time, offering a dynamic view of the brain's adaptation processes during vestibular rehabilitation. On the other hand, DTI enables the mapping of white matter tracts, shedding light on how neural connections are reorganized. Integrating these neuroimaging technologies into research protocols will enhance our understanding of the specific neural pathways involved in vestibular compensation, facilitating the development of more targeted and personalized rehabilitation strategies [40, 41]. Virtual reality (VR) emerges as a transformative tool with immense potential in vestibular rehabilitation [42]. By creating immersive, simulated environments, VR can expose individuals to a range of dynamic visual stimuli that challenge the vestibular system. This exposure serves as a controlled and adaptable platform for inducing neuroplastic changes, providing a safe yet realistic setting for rehabilitation [43]. For example, VR environments can simulate scenarios that provoke symptoms of dizziness or imbalance, allowing patients to gradually habituate to these stimuli. Moreover, VR systems can integrate gamified exercises, enhancing patient engagement and compliance by making rehabilitation more enjoyable. This not only promotes consistent participation but also amplifies the positive impact of neuroplastic changes. Innovative sensor technologies offer another dimension to the future of vestibular rehabilitation. Wearable sensors, such as accelerometers and gyroscopes, can capture precise data on patients' movements and balance. Integrating these sensors into rehabilitation protocols allows for real-time monitoring of progress and provides objective measures of patient performance. The data collected can be analysed to track improvements and identify areas that may require targeted intervention. This continuous feedback loop enhances the adaptability of rehabilitation programs, ensuring that exercises remain challenging while being tailored to the individual's capabilities [44, 45]. Telehealth and mobile applications are poised to democratize access to vestibular rehabilitation, overcoming geographical barriers and enhancing patient-centered care. Telehealth platforms enable clinicians to remotely guide patients through exercises, monitor progress, and provide real-time feedback. Mobile applications can serve as interactive tools, delivering personalized exercise regimens and reminders, while also collecting data on adherence and outcomes [46]. These technologies foster greater patient autonomy, allowing individuals to engage in vestibular rehabilitation at their convenience, ultimately promoting more consistent participation and better long-term outcomes.

Furthermore, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) holds promise in optimizing vestibular rehabilitation. AI algorithms can analyse vast datasets generated by neuroimaging, wearable sensors, and other technologies, identifying patterns that may elude manual analysis [47]. This analytical power can enhance diagnostic precision, inform treatment planning, and contribute to a more nuanced understanding of neuroplastic changes in response to vestibular rehabilitation. In conclusion, the future of vestibular rehabilitation is shaped by a convergence of cutting-edge technologies and a deepening appreciation of neuroplasticity.

The integration of neuroimaging, virtual reality, wearable sensors, telehealth, mobile applications, and artificial intelligence heralds a new era in which rehabilitation becomes more personalized, accessible, and efficacious. These innovations not only offer tools to observe and comprehend neuroplastic changes but also provide dynamic and adaptable platforms for promoting these changes actively. As these technologies continue to evolve, they hold the potential to redefine the landscape of vestibular rehabilitation, optimizing outcomes and improving the lives of individuals with vestibular disorders.

Discussion

The discussion encapsulates the intricate relationship between neuroplasticity and vestibular rehabilitation, highlighting the pivotal role of neuroplastic changes in optimizing treatment outcomes for individuals with vestibular dysfunction. The narrative review underscores how an understanding of neuroplasticity informs tailored rehabilitation strategies that capitalize on the brain's inherent adaptability. By dissecting the practical applications of neuroplasticity within vestibular compensation, the review elucidates how interventions such as tailored exercises, habituation techniques, and sensory reweighting harness neuroplasticity to promote functional recovery. Moreover, the discussion delves into the multifaceted factors influencing neuroplastic adaptive responses, emphasizing the importance of considering age, severity of vestibular dysfunction, and individual patient characteristics in treatment planning. It underscores the need for personalized rehabilitation approaches that align with the unique neuroplasticity profiles of patients to maximize therapeutic benefits. The clinical implications of understanding neuroplasticity in vestibular rehabilitation are discussed in detail, emphasizing the crucial role of patient education, motivation, and engagement. By empowering patients with knowledge about the brain's capacity for adaptation, clinicians foster a collaborative approach to rehabilitation that enhances patient compliance and long-term outcomes. The discussion highlights the significance of tailored interventions that challenge and stimulate neural pathways involved in spatial orientation and balance, promoting sustained engagement and progress in rehabilitation. Furthermore, the narrative review explores future directions and innovations in vestibular rehabilitation, showcasing how emerging technologies such as neuroimaging, virtual reality, wearable sensors, telehealth, mobile applications, and artificial intelligence hold promise in revolutionizing treatment approaches. These advancements offer dynamic and adaptable platforms for promoting neuroplastic changes actively, thereby optimizing outcomes and improving the quality of life for individuals with vestibular disorders.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the discussion provides a comprehensive overview of the intricate interplay between neuroplasticity and vestibular rehabilitation, emphasizing the importance of leveraging neuroplastic changes to tailor personalized interventions and optimize treatment outcomes. It underscores the transformative potential of emerging technologies in reshaping the landscape of vestibular rehabilitation, paving the way for more effective and accessible therapeutic approaches.

Conflict of Interest
Not available

Financial Support
Not available

References

1. Clark BC, Taylor JL. Age-related changes in motor cortical properties and voluntary activation of skeletal muscle. Curr Aging Sci. 2011;4(3):192-199. DOI:10.2174/1874609811104030192
2. Cohen HS, Kimball KT. Changes in a repetitive head movement task after vestibular rehabilitation. J Vestib. Res. 2004;14(4):285-295. PMID: 15889597
3. Priesol AJ, Valko Y, Merfeld DM, Lewis RF. Motion perception in patients with idiopathic bilateral vestibular hypofunction. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014;150(6):1040-1042. doi:10.1177/0194599814525026
4. Lopez C, Blanke O. The thalamocortical vestibular system in animals and humans. Brain Res. Rev. 2011;67(1-2):119-146. doi:10.1016/j.brainresrev.2010.12.002
5. Merfeld DM, Zupan L, Peterka RJ. Humans use internal models to estimate gravity and linear acceleration. Nature. 1999;398(6728):615-618. DOI:10.1038/19303
6. Strupp M, Arbusow V, Maag KP, *et al*. Vestibular exercises improve central vestibulospinal compensation after vestibular neuritis. Neurology. 1998;51(3):838-844. DOI:10.1212/wnl.51.3.838
7. Dieterich M, Brandt T. Functional brain imaging of peripheral and central vestibular disorders. Brain. 2008;131(10):2538-2552. doi:10.1093/brain/awn042
8. Lacour M, Helmchen C, Vidal PP. Vestibular compensation: the neuro-otologist's best friend. J Neurol. 2016;2631(1):S54-S64. DOI:10.1007/s00415-015-7900-4
9. Allum JH, Honegger F, Schicks H, *et al*. The influence of a bilateral vestibular loss on walking kinematics and kinetics. Acta Otolaryngol. 2012;132(11):1172-1181. DOI:10.3109/00016489.2012.695313
10. Cullen KE. The vestibular system: multimodal integration and encoding of self-motion for motor control. Trends Neurosci. 2012;35(3):185-196. DOI:10.1016/j.tins.2011.12.001
11. Horak FB, Jones-Rycewicz C, Black FO, Shumway-Cook A. Effects of vestibular rehabilitation on dizziness and imbalance. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1992;106(2):175-180. DOI:10.1177/019459989210600208
12. Draganski B, Gaser C, Busch V, Schuierer G, Bogdahn U, May A. Neuroplasticity: Changes in grey matter induced by training. Nature. 2004;427(6972):311-312.
13. Hüfner K, Hamilton DA, Kalla R, *et al*. Spatial memory and hippocampal volume in humans with unilateral vestibular deafferentation. Hippocampus. 2007;17(6):471-485.
14. Freitas C, Perez J, Knobel M, Tormos JM. Changes in cortical plasticity across the lifespan. Front Aging Neurosci. 2011;3:5.
15. Kleim JA, Jones TA. Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity: implications for rehabilitation after brain damage. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2008;51(1):S225-S239.
16. Gomez-Pinilla F, Hillman C. The influence of exercise on cognitive abilities. Comprehensive Physiology. 2013;3(1):403-428.
17. Cramer SC, Sur M, Dobkin BH, *et al*. Harnessing neuroplasticity for clinical applications. Brain. 2011;134(6):1591-1609.
18. Herdman SJ. Advances in the treatment of vestibular disorders. Phys. Ther. 1997;77(6):602-618.
19. Whitney SL, Alghadir A, Anwer S, Al-Eisa E. Recent evidence about the effectiveness of vestibular rehabilitation. Curr. Treat Options Neurol. 2016;18(4):13.
20. Hillier SL, McDonnell M. Vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral vestibular dysfunction. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2011;(2):CD005397.
21. Alsalaheen BA, Mucha A, Morris LO, *et al*. Vestibular rehabilitation for dizziness and balance disorders after concussion. J Neurol Phys Ther. 2010;34(2):87-93.
22. Norré ME, Beckers AM. Vestibular habituation training. A pilot study of a new method for treatment of the chronic vestibular syndrome. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Belg. 1992;46(2):155-163. PMID: 1627384
23. Shepard NT, Telian SA. Programmatic vestibular rehabilitation. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1995;112(1):173-182. DOI:10.1016/S0194-5998(95)70304-8
24. Cohen HS, Kimball KT. Treatment variation in patients with persistent dizziness. Laryngoscope. 2003;113(2):366-371.
25. Serratrice G, Galli V, Cappugi P, Garbarini M, Massazza G, Scopelliti M. Vestibular compensation: analysis of the role of visual cues by means of posturography. Acta. Otolaryngol. 1996;116(2):259-261.
26. Hansson EE, Månsson NO, Håkansson A. Postural control in vestibular neuritis: A quantitative analysis using static posturography. Scand Audiol. 2001;30(4):247-252.
27. Cohen HS, Wells J, Kimball KT, Owsley C. Gaze stability and dynamic visual acuity in older persons with vestibular loss. Otol Neurotol. 2003;24(3):395-401.
28. Cramer SC, Sur M, Dobkin BH, *et al*. Harnessing neuroplasticity for clinical applications. Brain. 2011;134(6):1591-1609.
29. Allum JH, Carpenter MG, Honegger F, Adkin AL, Bloem BR. Age-dependent variations in the directional sensitivity of balance corrections and compensatory arm movements in man. J Physiol. 2002;542(2):643-663.
30. Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott MH. Motor Control: Translating Research into Clinical Practice. 5th ed. Wolters Kluwer Health; c2017.
31. Büttner U, Helmchen C, Brandt T. Diagnostic criteria for central versus peripheral positioning nystagmus and vertigo: a review. Acta Otolaryngol. 1999;119(1):1-5.
32. Hall CD, Herdman SJ, Whitney SL, Cass SP, Clendaniel RA, Fife TD. Vestibular Rehabilitation for Peripheral Vestibular Hypofunction: An Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline: From the American Physical Therapy Association Neurology Section. J Neurol. Phys. Ther. 2016;40(2):124-155.
33. Shepard NT, Telian SA. Practical Management of the Balance Disorder Patient. 2nd ed. Singular; c1996.
34. Whitney SL, Wrisley DM, Marchetti GF, Gee MA, Redfern MS, Furman JM. Clinical measurement of sit-to-stand performance in people with balance disorders: validity of data for the Five-Times-Sit-to-Stand Test. Phys Ther. 2005;85(10):1034-1045.
35. Norré ME, Beckers AM. Vestibular habituation training. A pilot study of a new method for treatment of

the chronic vestibular syndrome. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Belg. 1992;46(2):155-163. PMID: 1627384

36. Alsalaheen BA, Whitney SL, Mucha A, *et al*. Exercise prescription patterns in patients treated with vestibular rehabilitation after concussion. Physiother Res Int. 2013;18(2):100-108.
37. Borel L, Harlay F, Magnan J, Chays A, Lacour M. Deficits and recovery of head and trunk orientation and stabilization after unilateral vestibular loss. Brain. 2002;125(4):880-894.
38. Kingma H. Thresholds for perception of direction of linear acceleration as a possible measure of otolith function. Acta Otolaryngol. 2007;127(9):946-951.
39. Keshner EA, Kenyon RV, Langston J, Postural responses exhibit multisensory dependencies with discordant visual and support surface motion. J Vestib Res. 2004;14(4):307-319. PMID: 15889599
40. Serino A, Canzoneri E, Marzolla M, *et al*. Altered temporal sensitivity in patients with imbalance: further insights into the cerebellar contribution to bodily perception. J Neurol. 2015;262(3):627-639.
41. Krebs DE, Gill-Body KM, Riley PO, Parker SW. Double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of rehabilitation for bilateral vestibular hypofunction: preliminary report. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1993;109(5):735-741.
42. Pavlou M, Lingeswaran A, Davies RA, Gresty MA, Bronstein AM. Simulator based rehabilitation in refractory dizziness. J Neurol. 2004;251(8):983-995.
43. Schneider E, Glasauer S, Dieterich M. Comparison of human ocular torsion patterns during natural and galvanic vestibular stimulation. J Neurophysiol. 2002;87(4):2064-2073.
44. Dichgans J, Held R, Young LR, Brandt T. Moving visual scenes influence the apparent direction of gravity. Science. 1972;178(4057):1217-1219.
45. Ricci NA, Aratani MC, Doná F, *et al*. A new virtual reality device for assessing and rehabilitating visuospatial neglect. Neurorehabilitation. 2009;25(2):175-183.
46. Meldrum D, Herdman S, Vance R, Murray D, Malone K, Duffy D. Effectiveness of conventional versus virtual reality-based balance exercises in vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral vestibular loss: results of a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2015;96(7):1319-1328.
47. Chiarovano E, Wang W, Rogers S, *et al*. Validity, Reliability, and Responsiveness of a Digital Assessment Tool for Balance Rehabilitation Exercises. Sensors (Basel). 2018;18(6):1799.

How to Cite This Article
Roy B, Sur M, Nath P, Roy SD, Singha P, Bhattacharjee K. Neuroplasticity and its implications for vestibular rehabilitation: A narrative review. International Journal of Orthopaedics and Physiotherapy 2024; 6(1): 05-10.